

THE PLURIHARMONIC HARDY SPACE AND TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

YUANQI SANG and XUANHAO DING*

ABSTRACT. Compared with harmonic Bergman spaces, this paper introduces a new function space which is called the pluriharmonic Hardy space $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. We character (semi-) commuting Toeplitz operators on $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with bounded pluriharmonic symbols. Interestingly, these results are quite different from the corresponding properties of Toeplitz operators on Hardy spaces, Bergman spaces and harmonic Bergman spaces. Our method for Toeplitz operators on $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ gives new insight into the study of commuting Toeplitz operators on harmonic Bergman spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{D} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} : |\xi| < 1\}$ be the unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{T}$ be its boundary. The bidisk $\mathbb{D}^2$ and the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ are the subsets of $\mathbb{C}^2$ which are Cartesian products of two copies $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{T}$, respectively. Let $d\sigma$ be the normalized Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}^2$, the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is the closure of the analytic polynomials in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2, d\sigma)$. Let dA denote the normalized area measure on $\mathbb{D}$, the harmonic Bergman space b^2 is the closed subspace of the Lebesgue space $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ consisting of all harmonic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. One can check the relation

$$b^2(\mathbb{D}) = L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) + \overline{L_a^2(\mathbb{D})}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ is the closed subspace of the Lebesgue space $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ consisting of all holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. It is well known that $H^2(\mathbb{T}) + \overline{H^2(\mathbb{T})} \cong L^2(\mathbb{T})$, the projection from $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ onto $H^2(\mathbb{T}) + \overline{H^2(\mathbb{T})}$ is the identity operator. In this case, Toeplitz operators on $H^2(\mathbb{T}) + \overline{H^2(\mathbb{T})}$ are multiplication operators. However, we have $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2) + \overline{H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \subsetneq L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. For example, $z_1 \overline{z_2} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, but $z_1 \overline{z_2}$ does not belong to $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2) + \overline{H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}$. Inspired by the harmonic Bergman space, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 1.1. We define the pluriharmonic Hardy space $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ by

$$h^2(\mathbb{T}^2) = H^2(\mathbb{T}^2) + \overline{H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}. \quad (1.2)$$

$h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is a Hilbert with the inner product

$$\langle F, G \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} F(z) \overline{G(z)} d\sigma(z).$$

Date: December 8, 2016.

*Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B35; Secondary 30H10.

Key words and phrases. Toeplitz operators, Pluriharmonic Hardy space, Berezin transform, Matrix representation.

It is easy to verify $\{z_1^i z_2^j\}_{i,j \geq 0} \cup \{\overline{z_1^k z_2^l}\}_{k,l \geq 0}$ is an orthonormal basis of $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

Let ∂_i denote $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i}$ and $\bar{\partial}_i$ denote $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\lambda}_i}$. Recall that a complex-valued $f \in C^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is said to be pluriharmonic if

$$\partial_i \bar{\partial}_j f = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

If $f \in h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, the Poisson integral of f is pluriharmonic in $\mathbb{D}^2$.

Definition 1.2. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, the Toeplitz operator $\widehat{T}_f$ with symbol f is densely defined on the pluriharmonic Hardy space $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ by

$$\widehat{T}_f h = Q(fh)$$

for all polynomials h , where Q is the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ onto $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

On the harmonic Bergman space $b^2(\mathbb{D})$, B. R. Choe and Y. J. Lee [3] proved that two Toeplitz operators with holomorphic symbols commute if and only if a nontrivial linear combination of the symbols is constant, this result has been extended to various domains such as the polydisk ([5]) and the unit ball ([11]). In [3, 4], B. R. Choe and Y. J. Lee posed two questions. First, *if an analytic Toeplitz operator and a co-analytic Toeplitz operator commute on $b^2(\mathbb{D})$, then is one of their symbols constant?* Second, *whether Toeplitz operators with general harmonic symbols can commute on $b^2(\mathbb{D})$ only in the obvious cases?* B. R. Choe and Y. J. Lee [4] showed that the answer to the first question is yes under some additional noncyclicity hypothesis, and whether the noncyclicity hypotheses can be removed or not remains open. We will be concerned with these two similar questions on $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. (1.1) and (1.2) show that $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and $b^2(\mathbb{D})$ have similar structures. In particular, L_a^2 is isometrically identified with a closed subspace of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ (see [9]), by (1.1), thus $b^2(\mathbb{D})$ is isometrically identified with a closed subspace of $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Our results for Toeplitz operators on $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ may offer some insight into the study of similar questions for Toeplitz operators on $b^2(\mathbb{D})$.

On the pluriharmonic Hardy space $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, Liu and the second author [12] obtained a characterization of (semi-) commuting Toeplitz operators with holomorphic symbols. For completeness, we will state these results as follows. In order to state these results, we need some notations. Let P be the projection from $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ onto $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. For each function f in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, let

$$\begin{aligned} f_+ &= Pf, \\ f_- &= (Q - P)f. \end{aligned}$$

Let $z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$.

Theorem 1.3. [12] *Let φ, ψ be two bounded functions in $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_\psi = \widehat{T}_\psi \widehat{T}_\varphi$ if and only if*

- (i) *both φ and ψ are functions of one variable z_1 ; or*
- (ii) *both φ and ψ are functions of one variable z_2 ; or*
- (iii) *a nontrivial linear combination of φ and ψ is constant.*

Theorem 1.4. [12] *Let φ, ψ be two bounded functions in $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_\psi = \widehat{T}_{\varphi\psi}$ if and only if*

- (i) *both φ and ψ are functions of one variable z_1 ; or*
- (ii) *both φ and ψ are functions of one variable z_2 ; or*
- (iii) *either φ or ψ is constant.*

In [14], the authors obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for an analytic Toeplitz operator that commutes with another co-analytic Toeplitz operator on $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

Theorem 1.5. [14] *Let φ, ψ be two bounded functions in $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} = \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_\varphi$ if and only if $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} = \widehat{T}_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}$ if and only if*

- (a) *either φ or ψ is constant; or*
- (b) *φ is a function of one variable z_1 and ψ is a function of one variable z_2 ;*
- or*
- (c) *φ is a function of one variable z_2 and ψ is a function of one variable z_1 .*

In this paper, we consider the problem of when two Toeplitz operators with bounded pluriharmonic symbols commute or semi-commute. Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 1.6. *Let f, g be two bounded functions in $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then $\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \widehat{T}_{fg}$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (A) *f_+ and g_+ are the functions of one variable z_1 , and f_- and g_- are the functions of one variable z_2 ; or*
- (B) *f_+ and g_+ are the functions of one variable z_2 , and f_- and g_- are the functions of one variable z_1 ; or*
- (C) *Either f or g is constant.*

Theorem 1.7. *Let f, g be two bounded functions in $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then $\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \widehat{T}_g \widehat{T}_f$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (I) *f_+ and g_+ are the functions of one variable z_1 , and f_- and g_- are the functions of one variable z_2 ;*
- (II) *f_+ and g_+ are the functions of one variable z_2 , and f_- and g_- are the functions of one variable z_1 ;*
- (III) *A nontrivial linear combination of f and g is constant.*

This paper is organized in the following way. In section 2, we present some preliminaries. By making use of matrix representation, we give some new characterization of Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 in section 3. Using these results, we completely characterize (semi-)commuting Toeplitz operators with bounded pluriharmonic harmonic symbols in section 4 and section 5, respectively.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Integral representation. Recall that the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space, with the kernel

$$K_{\lambda_1}(\omega_1) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\lambda}_1 \omega_1}, \quad \lambda_1, \omega_1 \in \mathbb{D},$$

so that

$$h(\lambda_1) = \langle h, K_{\lambda_1} \rangle \quad (2.1)$$

for every $h \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$. We will call $k_{\lambda_1}(\omega_1) = K_{\lambda_1}/\|K_{\lambda_1}\| = \frac{(1-|\lambda_1|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1-\lambda_1\omega_1}$ the normalized reproducing kernel. Clearly, the reproducing kernel of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ at the point λ with coordinates (λ_1, λ_2) in $\mathbb{D}^2$ is given by

$$\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\omega) = \prod_{i=1}^2 K_{\lambda_i}(\omega_i).$$

Thus the normalized reproducing kernel $\mathbf{k}_\lambda$ of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is in the form

$$\mathbf{k}_\lambda(\omega) = \prod_{i=1}^2 k_{\lambda_i}(\omega_i).$$

If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$, the Poisson integral of f is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}[f](\lambda) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(z) \prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{1-|\lambda_i|^2}{|1-\lambda_i\bar{z}_i|^2} d\sigma(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(z) |\mathbf{k}_\lambda(z)|^2 d\sigma(z) \\ &= \langle f\mathbf{k}_\lambda, \mathbf{k}_\lambda \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{P}[f](rz) = f(z)$ for almost every $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$ [13, Theorem 2.3.1], we can identify functions in $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with its Poisson integral.

For any bounded linear operator S on $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, the Berezin transform of S is the function $\tilde{S}$ on $\mathbb{D}^2$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}(\lambda) &= \langle S\mathbf{k}_\lambda, \mathbf{k}_\lambda \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} S\mathbf{k}_\lambda(z) \overline{\mathbf{k}_\lambda(z)} d\sigma(z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, the Hardy projection P has the integral representation

$$Pf(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(z) \overline{\mathbf{K}_\lambda(z)} d\sigma(z). \quad (2.3)$$

Similarly, let P^- be the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ onto $\overline{H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}$, we can write the projection P^- as the integral operator

$$P^-f(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(z) \mathbf{K}_\lambda(z) d\sigma(z). \quad (2.4)$$

The above integral formulas for P and P^- show that P and P^- can be extend to $L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$. By (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$P(f)(0) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(z) d\sigma(z) = P^-(f)(0). \quad (2.5)$$

In addition, the reproducing kernel $\mathbf{K}_\lambda$ has the following nice property [6, Theorem 1.3]:

$$P(\bar{\varphi}\mathbf{K}_\lambda) = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)}\mathbf{K}_\lambda \quad (2.6)$$

if $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

Each point evaluation is easily verified to be a bounded linear functional on $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, the Riesz representation theorem tells us that there exists a unique function $\mathbf{R}_\lambda$ in $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that

$$g(\lambda) = \langle g, \mathbf{R}_\lambda \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} g(z) \overline{\mathbf{R}_\lambda(z)} d\sigma(z) \quad (2.7)$$

for all g in $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Since (1.2), there is a simple relation between $\mathbf{R}_\lambda$ and $\mathbf{K}_\lambda$:

$$\mathbf{R}_\lambda = \mathbf{K}_\lambda + \overline{\mathbf{K}_\lambda} - 1. \quad (2.8)$$

Thus each $\mathbf{R}_\lambda$ is real-valued and the formula (2.7) leads us to the following integral representation of the projection Q :

$$Qf(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(z) \mathbf{R}_\lambda(z) d\sigma(z), \quad (2.9)$$

we see from (2.9) that the projection Q can be rewritten as

$$Qf = P(f) + P^-(f) - P(f)(0)$$

for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and fixed $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{D}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 2$), we define

$$(P_i f)|_{z_i=\lambda_i} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(z) \overline{K_{\lambda_i}(z_i)} d\sigma(z_i)$$

and

$$(P_i^- f)|_{z_i=\lambda_i} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(z) K_{\lambda_i}(z_i) d\sigma(z_i).$$

Using the boundedness of P_i and P_i^- on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, one can easily verify the following facts:

- P_1 commutes with P_2 , and $P = P_1 P_2$.
- P_1^- commutes with P_2^- , and $P^- = P_1^- P_2^-$.

2.2. Matrix representation. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, the Toeplitz operator T_f and the small Hankel operator Γ_f with symbol f are densely defined on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ by

$$T_f h = P(fh) \text{ and } \Gamma_f h = (Q - P)(fh)$$

for all polynomials h .

For $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, under the decomposition $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2) = H^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \oplus \overline{H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}$, where $H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2) = \{f \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^2) : f(0) = 0\}$, the Toeplitz operator $\hat{T}_\phi$ has the following operator matrix representation:

$$\hat{T}_\phi = \begin{bmatrix} T_\phi & \Gamma_\phi^* \\ \Gamma_\phi & S_\phi \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.10)$$

where the operator S_ϕ is an operator on $\overline{H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}$ defined by

$$S_\phi \bar{v} = (Q - P)(\phi \bar{v}) \quad (2.11)$$

for every polynomial $v \in H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. If $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, we define $\Gamma_\phi^* \bar{v}$ by

$$\Gamma_\phi^* \bar{v}(\lambda) = P(\phi \bar{v})(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \phi \bar{v} \overline{\mathbf{K}_\lambda} d\sigma.$$

Note that the star need no longer be the adjoint (but would of course coincide with the adjoint in case the operator Γ_ϕ is itself bounded).

The following lemma shows relation between Toeplitz operators T_φ and Hankel operators Γ_φ . It is quite useful in studying products of Toeplitz operators.

Lemma 2.1. *Let f, g and h be three functions in $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$. If $\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \widehat{T}_h$, then the following identity holds.*

$$T_h = T_f T_g + \Gamma_f^* \Gamma_g. \quad (2.12)$$

Proof. To prove the above identity we use the matrix representations of operators $\widehat{T}_f, \widehat{T}_g$ and $\widehat{T}_{fg}$ under the decomposition $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2) = H^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \oplus \overline{H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}$. Since $\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \widehat{T}_{fg}$, computing the product of the matrices of $\widehat{T}_f$ and $\widehat{T}_g$ gives

$$\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \begin{bmatrix} T_f T_g + \Gamma_f^* \Gamma_g & T_f \Gamma_g^* + \Gamma_f^* S_g \\ \Gamma_f T_g + S_f \Gamma_g & \Gamma_f \Gamma_g^* + S_f S_g \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.13)$$

On the other hand,

$$\widehat{T}_h = \begin{bmatrix} T_h & \Gamma_h^* \\ \Gamma_h & S_h \end{bmatrix}.$$

Comparison of the two matrix representations of $\widehat{T}_h$ gives (2.12). $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *If $\bar{f}$ or g in $H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$, and $\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \widehat{T}_h$, then $fg = h$.*

Proof. Since the small Hankel operator with an analytic symbol is the zero operator, (2.12) implies $T_h = T_f T_g$. By [6, Theorem 3.1], we have that $fg = h$. $\square$

Remark. It is well-known that T_φ satisfies the following characteristic relation

$$T_{z_i}^* T_\varphi T_{z_i} = T_\varphi$$

for $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$. But this relation does not extend to the Toeplitz operator $\widehat{T}_\varphi$ as the following example shows. Since $\widehat{T}_{z_1}^* \widehat{T}_{z_1} \widehat{T}_{z_1} \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 = 0$ and $\widehat{T}_{z_1} \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 = \bar{z}_2$, $\widehat{T}_{z_1}^* \widehat{T}_{z_1} \widehat{T}_{z_1} \neq \widehat{T}_{z_1}$.

Although our main concern is with bounded operators, we will need to make use of densely defined unbounded operators. Let H be a Hilbert space. Suppose A_1 and A_2 are densely defined operators in H , we say that $A_1 = A_2$ if

$$A_1 p = A_2 p$$

for each $p \in \text{dom} A_1 \cap \text{dom} A_2$. Let x and y be two nonzero vectors in H , $x \otimes y$ is the operator of rank one defined by

$$(x \otimes y)f = \langle f, y \rangle x$$

for $f \in H$.

3. SOME LEMMAS

Let $h \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $h = h(z_1)$ denotes h is a function of one variable z_1 . Similarly, $h = h(z_2)$ denotes h is a function of one variable z_2 . We denote the semicommutator and commutator of two Toeplitz operators $\widehat{T}_f$ and $\widehat{T}_g$ by

$$(\widehat{T}_f, \widehat{T}_g] = \widehat{T}_{fg} - \widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g$$

and

$$[\widehat{T}_f, \widehat{T}_g] = \widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g - \widehat{T}_g \widehat{T}_f.$$

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.7.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\varphi, \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$, $\varphi = \varphi(z_1)$, $\psi = \psi(z_1)$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ([\widehat{T}_\varphi, \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}}] k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}) \overline{k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}} d\sigma = |\lambda_2|^2 \left(\varphi(\lambda_1) \overline{\psi(\lambda_1)} - \mathcal{P}[\varphi \bar{\psi}](\lambda_1) \right)$$

for all $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{D}^2$.

Proof. Since $\bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1} \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1} &= P_1(\bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1}) + P_1^-(\bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1}) - P_1(\bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1})(0) \\ &= \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) k_{\lambda_1} + P_1^-(\bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1}) - \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) \sqrt{1 - |\lambda_1|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$P_1^-(\bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1}) = \bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1} - \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) k_{\lambda_1} + \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) \sqrt{1 - |\lambda_1|^2}. \quad (3.1)$$

An easy computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2} &= P(\bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}) + P^-(\bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}) - P(\bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2})(0) \\ &= P_1(\bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1}) P_2(k_{\lambda_2}) + P_1^-(\bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1}) P_2^-(k_{\lambda_2}) - P(\bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2})(0) \\ &= \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2} + P_1^-(\bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1}) \sqrt{1 - |\lambda_2|^2} - \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) \sqrt{1 - |\lambda_1|^2} \sqrt{1 - |\lambda_2|^2} \\ &= \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2} + \left(\bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1} - \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) k_{\lambda_1} + \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) \sqrt{1 - |\lambda_1|^2} \right) \sqrt{1 - |\lambda_2|^2} \\ &\quad - \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) \sqrt{1 - |\lambda_1|^2} \sqrt{1 - |\lambda_2|^2} \\ &= \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2} + \left(\bar{\psi} - \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) \right) k_{\lambda_1} \sqrt{1 - |\lambda_2|^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

The second equality follows from that $P = P_1 P_2 = P_2 P_1$ and $P^- = P_1^- P_2^- = P_2^- P_1^-$, the third equality follows from (2.6), the fourth equality follows from

(3.1). Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}) \overline{k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}} d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (Q\varphi \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}) \overline{k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}} d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varphi(z_1) (\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2})(z) \mathbf{R}_\eta(z) d\sigma(z) \overline{k_{\lambda_1}(\eta_1) k_{\lambda_2}(\eta_2)} d\sigma(\eta) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varphi(z_1) (\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2})(z) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbf{R}_z(\eta) \overline{k_{\lambda_1}(\eta_1) k_{\lambda_2}(\eta_2)} d\sigma(\eta) d\sigma(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varphi(\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}) \overline{k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}} d\sigma(z) \\
&= \left\langle \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}, \bar{\varphi} k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}, \widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}} k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}, \bar{\varphi}(\lambda_1) k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2} \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \left\langle \left(\bar{\psi} - \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) \right) k_{\lambda_1} \sqrt{1 - |\lambda_2|^2}, \left(\bar{\varphi} - \bar{\varphi}(\lambda_1) \right) k_{\lambda_1} \sqrt{1 - |\lambda_2|^2} \right\rangle \\
&= \varphi(\lambda_1) \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) + (1 - |\lambda_2|^2) \left(\langle \bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1}, \bar{\varphi} k_{\lambda_1} \rangle - \langle \bar{\psi} k_{\lambda_1}, \bar{\varphi}(\lambda_1) k_{\lambda_1} \rangle \right) \\
&= \varphi(\lambda_1) \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) + (1 - |\lambda_2|^2) \left(\mathcal{P}[\varphi \bar{\psi}](\lambda_1) - \varphi(\lambda_1) \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) \right) \\
&= |\lambda_2|^2 \varphi(\lambda_1) \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) + (1 - |\lambda_2|^2) \mathcal{P}[\varphi \bar{\psi}](\lambda_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The second equality follows from (2.9), the seventh equality follows from (3.2).

On the other hand, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_\varphi k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}) \overline{k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}} d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (Q\bar{\psi} Q\varphi k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}) \overline{k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}} d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (Q\bar{\psi} \varphi k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}) \overline{k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}} d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\bar{\psi} \varphi k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2})(z) \mathbf{R}_\eta(z) d\sigma(z) \overline{k_{\lambda_1}(\eta_1) k_{\lambda_2}(\eta_2)} d\sigma(\eta_1, \eta_2) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\bar{\psi} \varphi k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2})(z) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbf{R}_z(\eta) \overline{k_{\lambda_1}(\eta_1) k_{\lambda_2}(\eta_2)} d\sigma(\eta) d\sigma(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\bar{\psi} \varphi k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}) \overline{k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}} d\sigma \\
&= \left\langle \bar{\psi} \varphi k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}, k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2} \right\rangle = \mathcal{P}[\varphi \bar{\psi}](\lambda_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The third equality follows from (2.9).

So combining (3.3) with (3.4), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ([\widehat{T}_\varphi, \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}}] k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}) \overline{k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}} d\sigma = |\lambda_2|^2 \left(\varphi(\lambda_1) \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1) - \mathcal{P}[\varphi \bar{\psi}](\lambda_1) \right).$$

□

Remark. Although $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}}$ and $\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_\varphi$ may not be bounded, the integral formula (2.2) of their Berezin transform still make sense.

In the following four lemmas, u denotes a polynomial in $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, v denotes a polynomial in $H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

Lemma 3.2. *If φ and ψ are functions in $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, the following equalities hold.*

$$\begin{aligned} S_\varphi \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} &= \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi = \Gamma_{\varphi \bar{\psi}}, \\ T_{\bar{\varphi}} \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}}^* &= \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}}^* S_{\bar{\varphi}} = \Gamma_{\varphi \bar{\psi}}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. An easy computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi u &= (Q - P) \bar{\psi} P \varphi u \\ &= (Q - P) \bar{\psi} \varphi u = \Gamma_{\varphi \bar{\psi}} u. \end{aligned}$$

The third equality follows from the fact that $\varphi u \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

Using the integral representations of P (see (2.3)) and Q (see (2.9)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S_\varphi \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} u)(z) &= (Q - P)(\varphi \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} u)(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varphi \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} u(\mathbf{K}_z - 1) d\sigma \\ &= \left\langle \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} u, \overline{\varphi(\mathbf{K}_z - 1)} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle (Q - P)(\bar{\psi} u), \overline{\varphi(\mathbf{K}_z - 1)} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \bar{\psi} u, \overline{\varphi(\mathbf{K}_z - 1)} \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varphi \bar{\psi} u(\mathbf{K}_z - 1) d\sigma \\ &= (Q - P)(\varphi \bar{\psi} u)(z) = (\Gamma_{\varphi \bar{\psi}} u)(z). \end{aligned}$$

The fifth equality follows from the fact that $\overline{\varphi(\mathbf{K}_z - 1)} \in \overline{H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}$. An easy computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}}^* S_{\bar{\varphi}} \bar{v} &= P \psi (Q - P) \overline{\varphi \bar{v}} \\ &= P(\psi \overline{\varphi \bar{v}}) = \Gamma_{\varphi \bar{\psi}}^* \bar{v}. \end{aligned}$$

The third equality follows from the fact that $\overline{\varphi \bar{v}} \in \overline{H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}$.

Using the integral representation of P (see (2.3)), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(T_{\bar{\varphi}}\Gamma_{\bar{\psi}}^*\bar{v})(z) &= P(\bar{\varphi}\Gamma_{\bar{\psi}}^*\bar{v})(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \bar{\varphi}\Gamma_{\bar{\psi}}^*\bar{v}\overline{\mathbf{K}_z}d\sigma \\
&= \left\langle \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}}^*\bar{v}, \varphi\mathbf{K}_z \right\rangle \\
&= \langle P(\psi\bar{v}), \varphi\mathbf{K}_z \rangle \\
&= \langle \psi\bar{v}, \varphi\mathbf{K}_z \rangle \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \bar{\varphi}\psi\bar{v}\overline{\mathbf{K}_z}d\sigma \\
&= P(\bar{\varphi}\psi\bar{v})(z) = (\Gamma_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}^*\bar{v})(z).
\end{aligned}$$

The fifth equality follows from the fact that $\varphi\mathbf{K}_z \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. □

The following three lemmas play an important role in understanding (semi)commutativity of Toeplitz operators on $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\varphi, \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) $\widehat{T}_{\varphi}\widehat{T}_{\psi} = \widehat{T}_{\varphi\psi}$.
- (b) $T_{\varphi}\Gamma_{\bar{\psi}}^* + \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}}^*S_{\psi} = \Gamma_{\overline{\varphi\psi}}^*$.
- (c) $\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}}\widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}} = \widehat{T}_{\overline{\varphi\psi}}$.
- (d) $\Gamma_{\bar{\psi}}T_{\bar{\varphi}} + S_{\bar{\psi}}\Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}} = \Gamma_{\overline{\varphi\psi}}$.
- (e) (e1) Both φ and ψ are functions of one variable z_1 ; or
(e2) Both φ and ψ are functions of one variable z_2 ; or
(e3) Either φ or ψ is constant.

Proof. (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b): We use the matrix representations of $\widehat{T}_{\varphi}\widehat{T}_{\psi}$ (see (2.13)) and $\widehat{T}_{\varphi\psi}$ (see (2.10)) to obtain

$$\widehat{T}_{\varphi}\widehat{T}_{\psi} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{\varphi}T_{\psi} & T_{\varphi}\Gamma_{\bar{\psi}}^* + \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}}^*S_{\psi} \\ 0 & S_{\varphi}S_{\psi} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \widehat{T}_{\varphi\psi} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{\varphi\psi} & \Gamma_{\overline{\varphi\psi}}^* \\ 0 & S_{\varphi\psi} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\varphi}T_{\psi}u &= P\varphi P\psi u \\
&= P\varphi\psi u = T_{\varphi\psi}u
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(S_\varphi S_\psi \bar{v})(z) &= (Q - P)(\varphi S_\psi \bar{v})(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varphi S_\psi \bar{v}(\mathbf{K}_z - 1) d\sigma \\
&= \left\langle S_\psi \bar{v}, \overline{\varphi(\mathbf{K}_z - 1)} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle (Q - P)(\psi \bar{v}), \overline{\varphi(\mathbf{K}_z - 1)} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \psi \bar{v}, \overline{\varphi(\mathbf{K}_z - 1)} \right\rangle \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varphi \psi \bar{v}(\mathbf{K}_z - 1) d\sigma \\
&= (Q - P)(\varphi \psi \bar{v})(z) \\
&= (S_{\varphi \psi} \bar{v})(z),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

that is

$$T_\varphi T_\psi = T_{\varphi \psi} \text{ and } S_\varphi S_\psi = S_{\varphi \psi}. \tag{3.7}$$

Therefore $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_\psi = \widehat{T}_{\varphi \psi}$ is equivalent to $T_\varphi \Gamma_\psi^* + \Gamma_\varphi^* S_\psi = \Gamma_{\varphi \psi}^*$.

(c) $\Leftrightarrow$ (d): Using the matrix representations of $\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}}$ and $\widehat{T}_{\overline{\varphi \psi}}$, we have

$$\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{\bar{\psi}} T_{\bar{\varphi}} & 0 \\ \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} T_{\bar{\varphi}} + S_{\bar{\psi}} \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}} & S_{\bar{\psi}} S_{\bar{\varphi}} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \widehat{T}_{\overline{\varphi \psi}} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{\overline{\varphi \psi}} & 0 \\ \Gamma_{\overline{\varphi \psi}} & S_{\overline{\varphi \psi}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\bar{\psi}} S_{\bar{\varphi}} \bar{v} &= (Q - P) \bar{\psi} (Q - P) \overline{\varphi v} \\
&= (Q - P) \overline{\psi \varphi v} = S_{\overline{\varphi \psi}} \bar{v}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(T_{\bar{\psi}} T_{\bar{\varphi}} u)(z) &= P(\bar{\psi} P \bar{\varphi} u)(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \bar{\psi} P(\bar{\varphi} u) \overline{\mathbf{K}_z} d\sigma \\
&= \langle P(\bar{\varphi} u), \psi \mathbf{K}_z \rangle \\
&= \langle \bar{\varphi} u, \psi \mathbf{K}_z \rangle \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \bar{\varphi} u \overline{\psi \mathbf{K}_z} d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \overline{\varphi \psi} u \overline{\mathbf{K}_z} d\sigma \\
&= (T_{\overline{\varphi \psi}} u)(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Thus

$$T_{\bar{\psi}} T_{\bar{\varphi}} = T_{\overline{\varphi \psi}} \text{ and } S_{\bar{\psi}} S_{\bar{\varphi}} = S_{\overline{\varphi \psi}}. \tag{3.10}$$

Hence $\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}} = \widehat{T}_{\overline{\varphi \psi}}$ and $\Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} T_{\bar{\varphi}} + S_{\bar{\psi}} \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}} = \Gamma_{\overline{\varphi \psi}}$ are equivalent.

(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c): Since the span of $\{\mathbf{R}_\eta : \eta \in \mathbb{T}^2\}$ is dense in $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_\psi \mathbf{R}_\eta)(z) &= Q(\varphi \widehat{T}_\psi \mathbf{R}_\eta)(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\varphi \widehat{T}_\psi \mathbf{R}_\eta) \mathbf{R}_z d\sigma \\
&= \left\langle \widehat{T}_\psi \mathbf{R}_\eta, \bar{\varphi} \mathbf{R}_z \right\rangle \\
&= \langle Q(\psi \mathbf{R}_\eta), Q(\bar{\varphi} \mathbf{R}_z) \rangle \\
&= \left\langle \psi \mathbf{R}_\eta, \widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}} \mathbf{R}_z \right\rangle \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \psi \mathbf{R}_\eta \overline{\widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}} \mathbf{R}_z} d\sigma \\
&= \overline{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \bar{\psi} (\widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}} \mathbf{R}_z) \mathbf{R}_\eta d\sigma} \\
&= \overline{(\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}} \mathbf{R}_z)(\eta)}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\widehat{T}_{\varphi\psi} \mathbf{R}_\eta)(z) &= Q(\varphi\psi \mathbf{R}_\eta)(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varphi\psi \mathbf{R}_\eta \mathbf{R}_z d\sigma \\
&= \overline{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \overline{\varphi\psi} \mathbf{R}_z \mathbf{R}_\eta d\sigma} \\
&= \overline{Q(\overline{\varphi\psi} \mathbf{R}_z)(\eta)} \\
&= \overline{(\widehat{T}_{\overline{\varphi\psi}} \mathbf{R}_z)(\eta)},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

it follows that $(\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_\psi \mathbf{R}_\eta)(z) = (\widehat{T}_{\varphi\psi} \mathbf{R}_\eta)(z)$ if and only if $\overline{(\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}} \mathbf{R}_z)(\eta)} = \overline{(\widehat{T}_{\overline{\varphi\psi}} \mathbf{R}_z)(\eta)}$. So $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_\psi = \widehat{T}_{\varphi\psi}$ is equivalent to $\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}} = \widehat{T}_{\overline{\varphi\psi}}$.

(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (e) : see [12, Theorem 2.1]. $\square$

Before stating Lemma 3.4, we recall that for $h \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, the Hankel operator H_h is defined on $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ as follows:

$$H_h p = (I_2 - P_2)(hp), \quad p \in H^2(\mathbb{T}),$$

where I_2 is the identity operator on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. We define H_h^* by

$$H_h^* q = P_2(\bar{h}q), \quad q \in H^2(\mathbb{T})^\perp.$$

Clearly H_h and H_h^* are densely defined.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\varphi, \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} = \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_\varphi$.
- (b) $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} = \widehat{T}_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}$.
- (c) $\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_\varphi = \widehat{T}_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}$.
- (d) $T_\varphi T_{\bar{\psi}} + \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}}^* \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} = T_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}$.
- (e) $S_{\bar{\psi}} S_\varphi + \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}}^* = S_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}$.
- (f) (f1) φ is a function of one variable z_1 and ψ is a function of one variable z_2 ; or
 (f2) φ is a function of one variable z_2 and ψ is a function of one variable z_1 ; or
 (f2) φ or ψ is constant.

Proof. (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c): The proof is very similar to the proof [7, Theorem 3.1] and we omit it.

(b) $\Leftrightarrow$ (d): Using the matrix representations of $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}}$ and $\widehat{T}_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}$, we have

$$\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} = \begin{bmatrix} T_\varphi T_{\bar{\psi}} + \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}}^* \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} & \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}}^* S_{\bar{\psi}} \\ S_\varphi \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} & S_\varphi S_{\bar{\psi}} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \widehat{T}_{\varphi\bar{\psi}} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{\varphi\bar{\psi}} & \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}\psi}^* \\ \Gamma_{\varphi\bar{\psi}} & S_{\varphi\bar{\psi}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 3.2,

$$S_\varphi \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} = \Gamma_{\varphi\bar{\psi}} \text{ and } \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}}^* S_{\bar{\psi}} = \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}\psi}^*.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} S_\varphi S_{\bar{\psi}} \bar{v} &= (Q - P)\varphi(Q - P)(\bar{\psi}\bar{v}) \\ &= (Q - P)(\varphi\bar{\psi}\bar{v}) = S_{\varphi\bar{\psi}} \bar{v}, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$S_\varphi S_{\bar{\psi}} = S_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}. \quad (3.13)$$

Thus $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} = \widehat{T}_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}$ and $T_\varphi T_{\bar{\psi}} + \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}}^* \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} = T_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}$ are equivalent.

(c) $\Leftrightarrow$ (e): By the matrix representations of $\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_\varphi$ and $\widehat{T}_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}$, we have

$$\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} T_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi & T_{\bar{\psi}} \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}}^* \\ \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi & S_{\bar{\psi}} S_\varphi + \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}}^* \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \widehat{T}_{\varphi\bar{\psi}} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{\varphi\bar{\psi}} & \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}\psi}^* \\ \Gamma_{\varphi\bar{\psi}} & S_{\varphi\bar{\psi}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$T_{\bar{\psi}} \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}}^* = \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}\psi}^* \text{ and } \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi = \Gamma_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi u &= P\bar{\psi} P\varphi u \\ &= P(\bar{\psi}\varphi u) = T_{\varphi\bar{\psi}} u, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$T_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi = T_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}. \quad (3.14)$$

Hence $\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_\varphi = \widehat{T}_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}$ and $S_{\bar{\psi}} S_\varphi + \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}}^* = S_{\varphi\bar{\psi}}$ are equivalent.

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (f): Authors prove this result for bounded symbols in [14, Theorem 1]. For the sake of reader's convenience, we will prove it again without the condition of bounded symbols.

Write

$$\varphi = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \varphi_i(z_2) z_1^i, \quad \psi = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \psi_j(z_2) z_1^j.$$

Let $\alpha, \beta, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and without loss of generality we assume $k \geq l$,

$$L_{k,l} \triangleq \left\langle (T_{\varphi\bar{\psi}} - T_{\varphi}T_{\bar{\psi}})z_1^k z_2^\alpha, z_1^l z_2^\beta \right\rangle$$

and

$$R_{k,l} \triangleq \left\langle \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}}^* \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} z_1^k z_2^\alpha, z_1^l z_2^\beta \right\rangle.$$

Using the similar method discussed in [8], we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{k,l} &= \left\langle (T_{\varphi\bar{\psi}} - T_{\varphi}T_{\bar{\psi}})z_1^k z_2^\alpha, z_1^l z_2^\beta \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \bar{\psi} z_1^k z_2^\alpha, \bar{\varphi} z_1^l z_2^\beta \right\rangle - \left\langle P(\bar{\psi} z_1^k z_2^\alpha), \bar{\varphi} z_1^l z_2^\beta \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \bar{\psi}_j(z_2) z_2^\alpha \bar{z}_1^j z_1^k, \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \bar{\varphi}_i(z_2) z_2^\beta \bar{z}_1^i z_1^l \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \left\langle \sum_{j=0}^k P_2(\bar{\psi}_j(z_2) z_2^\alpha) z_1^{k-j}, \sum_{i=0}^l P_2(\bar{\varphi}_i(z_2) z_2^\beta) z_1^{l-i} \right\rangle \quad (3.15) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \left\langle \bar{\psi}_j(z_2) z_2^\alpha, \bar{\varphi}_{l-k+j}(z_2) z_2^\beta \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^k \left\langle P_2(\bar{\psi}_j(z_2) z_2^\alpha), \bar{\varphi}_{l-k+j}(z_2) z_2^\beta \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{k,l} &= \left\langle \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} z_1^k z_2^\alpha, \Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}} z_1^l z_2^\beta \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle P^-(\bar{\psi} z_1^k z_2^\alpha) - P(\bar{\psi} z_1^k z_2^\alpha)(0), \bar{\varphi} z_1^l z_2^\beta \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{j=k}^{+\infty} P_2^-(\bar{\psi}_j(z_2) z_2^\alpha) \bar{z}_1^{j-k}, \sum_{i=l}^{+\infty} P_2^-(\bar{\varphi}_i(z_2) z_2^\beta) \bar{z}_1^{i-l} \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \left\langle P_2(\bar{\psi}_k(z_2) z_2^\alpha)(0), \bar{\varphi}_l(z_2) z_2^\beta \right\rangle \quad (3.16) \\ &= \sum_{j=k}^{+\infty} \left\langle P_2^-(\bar{\psi}_j(z_2) z_2^\alpha), \bar{\varphi}_{l-k+j}(z_2) z_2^\beta \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \left\langle P_2(\bar{\psi}_k(z_2) z_2^\alpha)(0), \bar{\varphi}_l(z_2) z_2^\beta \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, (3.15) and (3.16) imply that

$$L_{k,l} - L_{k+1,l+1} = R_{k,l} - R_{k+1,l+1},$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle P_2^-(\bar{\psi}_k(z_2)z_2^\alpha) - P_2(\bar{\psi}_k(z_2)z_2^\alpha)(0), \bar{\varphi}_l(z_2)z_2^\beta \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle P_2(\bar{\psi}_{k+1}(z_2)z_2^\alpha) - P_2(\bar{\psi}_{k+1}(z_2)z_2^\alpha)(0), \bar{\varphi}_{l+1}(z_2)z_2^\beta \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\left\langle H_{\bar{\psi}_k} z_2^\alpha, H_{\bar{\varphi}_l} z_2^\beta \right\rangle = \left\langle (T_{\varphi_{l+1}} T_{\bar{\psi}_{k+1}} - \varphi_{l+1} \otimes \psi_{k+1}) z_2^\alpha, z_2^\beta \right\rangle.$$

Thus

$$H_{\bar{\varphi}_l}^* H_{\bar{\psi}_k} = T_{\varphi_{l+1}} T_{\bar{\psi}_{k+1}} - \varphi_{l+1} \otimes \psi_{k+1}$$

holds on $H^2(\mathbb{T})$. We calculate the Berezin transform of $H_{\bar{\varphi}_l}^* H_{\bar{\psi}_k}$, $T_{\varphi_{l+1}} T_{\bar{\psi}_{k+1}}$ and $\varphi_{l+1} \otimes \psi_{k+1}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle H_{\bar{\varphi}_l}^* H_{\bar{\psi}_k} k_{\lambda_2}, k_{\lambda_2} \right\rangle &= \left\langle H_{\bar{\psi}_k} k_{\lambda_2}, H_{\bar{\varphi}_l} k_{\lambda_2} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle (I_2 - P_2) \bar{\psi}_k k_{\lambda_2}, \bar{\varphi}_l k_{\lambda_2} \right\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{P}[\varphi_l \bar{\psi}_k](\lambda_2) - \varphi_l(\lambda_2) \bar{\psi}_k(\lambda_2), \\ \left\langle (\varphi_{l+1} \otimes \psi_{k+1}) k_{\lambda_2}, k_{\lambda_2} \right\rangle &= \left\langle \langle k_{\lambda_2}, \psi_{k+1} \rangle \varphi_{l+1}, k_{\lambda_2} \right\rangle \\ &= \langle k_{\lambda_2}, \psi_{k+1} \rangle \langle \varphi_{l+1}, k_{\lambda_2} \rangle \\ &= P_2(\bar{\psi}_{k+1} k_{\lambda_2})(0) \overline{P_2(\bar{\varphi}_{l+1} k_{\lambda_2})(0)} \\ &= (1 - |\lambda_2|^2) \varphi_{l+1}(\lambda_2) \bar{\psi}_{k+1}(\lambda_2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle T_{\varphi_{l+1}} T_{\bar{\psi}_{k+1}} k_{\lambda_2}, k_{\lambda_2} \right\rangle &= \left\langle T_{\bar{\psi}_{k+1}} k_{\lambda_2}, T_{\bar{\varphi}_{l+1}} k_{\lambda_2} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle P_2(\bar{\psi}_{k+1} k_{\lambda_2}), P_2(\bar{\varphi}_{l+1} k_{\lambda_2}) \right\rangle \\ &= \varphi_{l+1}(\lambda_2) \bar{\psi}_{k+1}(\lambda_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{|\lambda_2| \rightarrow 1^-} \left(\mathcal{P}[\varphi_l \bar{\psi}_k](\lambda_2) - \varphi_l(\lambda_2) \bar{\psi}_k(\lambda_2) \right) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{|\lambda_2| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |\lambda_2|^2) \varphi_{l+1}(\lambda_2) \bar{\psi}_{k+1}(\lambda_2) = 0,$$

we have

$$\lim_{|\lambda_2| \rightarrow 1^-} \varphi_{l+1}(\lambda_2) \bar{\psi}_{k+1}(\lambda_2) = 0,$$

it follows that

$$\varphi_{l+1} \bar{\psi}_{k+1} = 0 \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{T}.$$

Therefore either $\varphi_{l+1}(z_2) = 0$ for all $l \geq 0$ or $\psi_{k+1}(z_2) = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$. In this case, either φ or ψ is constant with respect to variable z_1 . Using the same argument as before, so either φ or ψ is constant with respect to variable z_2 .

(f) $\Rightarrow$ (b): See [12, Theorem 4.2]. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\varphi, \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_\psi = \widehat{T}_\psi \widehat{T}_\varphi$.
- (b) $T_\varphi \Gamma_\psi^* + \Gamma_\varphi^* S_\psi = T_\psi \Gamma_\varphi^* + \Gamma_\psi^* S_\varphi$.
- (c) $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} = \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_\varphi$.
- (d) $\Gamma_\varphi T_{\bar{\psi}} + S_\varphi \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} = \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi + S_{\bar{\psi}} \Gamma_\varphi$.
- (e) (e1) Both φ and ψ are functions of one variable z_1 ; or
 (e2) Both φ and ψ are functions of one variable z_2 ; or
 (e3) A nontrivial linear combination of φ and ψ is constant.

Proof. (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b): By (2.13), we have

$$\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_\psi = \begin{bmatrix} T_\varphi T_\psi & T_\varphi \Gamma_\psi^* + \Gamma_\varphi^* S_\psi \\ 0 & S_\varphi S_\psi \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \widehat{T}_\psi \widehat{T}_\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} T_\psi T_\varphi & T_\psi \Gamma_\varphi^* + \Gamma_\psi^* S_\varphi \\ 0 & S_\psi S_\varphi \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} T_\varphi T_\psi u &= P\varphi P\psi u \\ &= P\varphi \psi u \\ &= P\psi P\varphi u = T_\psi T_\varphi u, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $T_\varphi T_\psi = T_\psi T_\varphi$. By (3.6), we have $S_\varphi S_\psi = S_{\psi\varphi}$ and $S_\psi S_\varphi = S_{\psi\varphi}$, so that $S_\varphi S_\psi = S_\psi S_\varphi$. Thus $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_\psi = \widehat{T}_\psi \widehat{T}_\varphi$ is equivalent to $T_\varphi \Gamma_\psi^* + \Gamma_\varphi^* S_\psi = T_\psi \Gamma_\varphi^* + \Gamma_\psi^* S_\varphi$.

(c) $\Leftrightarrow$ (d): Again by (2.13), we have

$$\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} = \begin{bmatrix} T_\varphi T_{\bar{\psi}} & 0 \\ \Gamma_\varphi T_{\bar{\psi}} + S_\varphi \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} & S_\varphi S_{\bar{\psi}} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} T_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi & 0 \\ \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi + S_{\bar{\psi}} \Gamma_\varphi & S_{\bar{\psi}} S_\varphi \end{bmatrix}.$$

By (3.9), $T_\varphi T_{\bar{\psi}} = T_{\psi\varphi}$ and $T_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi = T_{\bar{\psi}\varphi}$, that is $T_\varphi T_{\bar{\psi}} = T_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi$. Using (3.8), we have $S_\varphi S_{\bar{\psi}} = S_{\psi\varphi}$ and $S_{\bar{\psi}} S_\varphi = S_{\bar{\psi}\varphi}$, so $S_\varphi S_{\bar{\psi}} = S_{\bar{\psi}} S_\varphi$. It follows that $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} = \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_\varphi$ and $\Gamma_\varphi T_{\bar{\psi}} + S_\varphi \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} = \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi + S_{\bar{\psi}} \Gamma_\varphi$ are equivalent.

(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c): By (3.11), we have $(\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_\psi \mathbf{R}_\eta)(z) = (\widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}} \mathbf{R}_z)(\eta)$, and similarly $(\widehat{T}_\psi \widehat{T}_\varphi \mathbf{R}_\eta)(z) = (\widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}} \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \mathbf{R}_z)(\eta)$, so $\widehat{T}_\varphi \widehat{T}_\psi = \widehat{T}_\psi \widehat{T}_\varphi$ and $\widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}} \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} = \widehat{T}_{\bar{\psi}} \widehat{T}_{\bar{\varphi}}$ are equivalent.

(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (e): See [12, Theorem 3.1]. $\square$

4. SEMI-COMMUTING TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

Theorem 1.6 can be restated as follows:

Let f, g be two bounded functions in $h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, and $f = f_+ + f_-$, $g = g_+ + g_-$, where $f_+, \bar{f}_-, g_+, \bar{g}_- \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then $\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \widehat{T}_{fg}$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (A) $f_+ = f_+(z_1)$, $f_- = f_-(z_2)$, $g_+ = g_+(z_1)$, $g_- = g_-(z_2)$;
- (B) $f_+ = f_+(z_2)$, $f_- = f_-(z_1)$, $g_+ = g_+(z_2)$, $g_- = g_-(z_1)$;

(C) Either f or g is constant.

Proof. Suppose that $\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \widehat{T}_{fg}$, by the matrix representations of $\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g$ (2.13) and $\widehat{T}_{fg}$ (2.10), we have

$$\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \begin{bmatrix} T_f T_g + \Gamma_{\bar{f}}^* \Gamma_g & T_f \Gamma_{\bar{g}}^* + \Gamma_{\bar{f}}^* S_g \\ \Gamma_f T_g + S_f \Gamma_g & \Gamma_f \Gamma_{\bar{g}}^* + S_f S_g \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{fg} & \Gamma_{\bar{fg}}^* \\ \Gamma_{fg} & S_{fg} \end{bmatrix} = \widehat{T}_{fg},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_f T_g + \Gamma_{\bar{f}}^* \Gamma_g &= T_{fg}, \\ T_f \Gamma_{\bar{g}}^* + \Gamma_{\bar{f}}^* S_g &= \Gamma_{\bar{fg}}^*, \\ \Gamma_f T_g + S_f \Gamma_g &= \Gamma_{fg}, \\ \Gamma_f \Gamma_{\bar{g}}^* + S_f S_g &= S_{fg}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

By substituting $f = f_+ + f_-$ and $g = g_+ + g_-$ into (4.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{f_+} T_{g_+} + T_{f_+} T_{g_-} + T_{f_-} T_{g_+} + T_{f_-} T_{g_-} + \Gamma_{\bar{f}_+}^* \Gamma_{g_-} \\ &= T_{f_+ g_+} + T_{f_+ g_-} + T_{f_- g_+} + T_{f_- g_-}, \\ & T_{f_+} \Gamma_{\bar{g}_+}^* + T_{f_-} \Gamma_{\bar{g}_+}^* + \Gamma_{\bar{f}_+}^* S_{g_+} + \Gamma_{\bar{f}_+}^* S_{g_-} \\ &= \Gamma_{\bar{f}_+ g_+}^* + \Gamma_{\bar{f}_+ g_-}^* + \Gamma_{\bar{f}_- g_+}^*, \\ & \Gamma_{f_-} T_{g_+} + \Gamma_{f_-} T_{g_-} + S_{f_+} \Gamma_{g_-} + S_{f_-} \Gamma_{g_-} \\ &= \Gamma_{f_+ g_-} + \Gamma_{f_- g_+} + \Gamma_{f_- g_-}, \\ & \Gamma_{f_-} \Gamma_{\bar{g}_+}^* + S_{f_+} S_{g_+} + S_{f_+} S_{g_-} + S_{f_-} S_{g_+} + S_{f_-} S_{g_-} \\ &= S_{f_+ g_+} + S_{f_+ g_-} + S_{f_- g_+} + S_{f_- g_-}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

By (3.5), (3.9), (3.6) and (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{f_+} T_{g_+} &= T_{f_+ g_+}, \\ T_{f_-} T_{g_+} &= T_{f_- g_+}, \\ T_{f_-} T_{g_-} &= T_{f_- g_-}, \\ S_{f_+} S_{g_+} &= S_{f_+ g_+}, \\ S_{f_+} S_{g_-} &= S_{f_+ g_-}, \\ S_{f_-} S_{g_-} &= S_{f_- g_-}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{f_-} \Gamma_{\bar{g}_+}^* &= \Gamma_{\bar{f}_- g_+}^*, \\ \Gamma_{\bar{f}_+}^* S_{g_-} &= \Gamma_{\bar{f}_+ g_-}^*, \\ \Gamma_{f_-} T_{g_+} &= \Gamma_{f_- g_+}, \\ S_{f_+} \Gamma_{g_-} &= \Gamma_{f_+ g_-}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

So that (4.2) becomes

$$T_{f_+} T_{g_-} + \Gamma_{\bar{f}_+}^* \Gamma_{g_-} = T_{f_+ g_-}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$T_{f_+} \Gamma_{\bar{g}_+}^* + \Gamma_{\bar{f}_+}^* S_{g_+} = \Gamma_{\bar{f}_+ g_+}^*, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\Gamma_{f_-} T_{g_-} + S_{f_-} \Gamma_{g_-} = \Gamma_{f_- g_-}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$S_{f_-} S_{g_+} + \Gamma_{f_-} \Gamma_{g_+}^* = S_{f_- g_+}. \quad (4.8)$$

Applying Lemma 3.3 to (4.6) and (4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{T}_{f_+} \widehat{T}_{g_+} &= \widehat{T}_{f_+ g_+}, \\ \widehat{T}_{f_-} \widehat{T}_{g_-} &= \widehat{T}_{f_- g_-}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

An application of Lemma 3.3 to (4.9), we see that f_+ , f_- , g_+ and g_- satisfy

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textcircled{a} f_+ = f_+(z_1), g_+ = g_+(z_1); \text{ or} \\ \textcircled{b} f_+ = f_+(z_2), g_+ = g_+(z_2); \text{ or} \\ \textcircled{c} f_+ \text{ or } g_+ \text{ is constant,} \end{array} \right. \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textcircled{d} f_- = f_-(z_1), g_- = g_-(z_1); \text{ or} \\ \textcircled{e} f_- = f_-(z_2), g_- = g_-(z_2); \text{ or} \\ \textcircled{f} f_- \text{ or } g_- \text{ is constant.} \end{array} \right. \quad (4.11)$$

Applying Lemma 3.4 to (4.5) and (4.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{T}_{f_+} \widehat{T}_{g_-} &= \widehat{T}_{f_+ g_-}, \\ \widehat{T}_{f_-} \widehat{T}_{g_+} &= \widehat{T}_{f_- g_+}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

An application of Lemma 3.4 to (4.12), we see that f_+ , f_- , g_+ and g_- satisfy

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textcircled{1} f_+ = f_+(z_1), g_- = g_-(z_2); \text{ or} \\ \textcircled{2} f_+ = f_+(z_2), g_- = g_-(z_1); \text{ or} \\ \textcircled{3} f_+ \text{ or } g_- \text{ is constant,} \end{array} \right. \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textcircled{4} f_- = f_-(z_1), g_+ = g_+(z_2); \text{ or} \\ \textcircled{5} f_- = f_-(z_2), g_+ = g_+(z_1); \text{ or} \\ \textcircled{6} f_- \text{ or } g_+ \text{ is constant.} \end{array} \right. \quad (4.14)$$

Moreover, by substituting $f = f_+ + f_-$ and $g = g_+ + g_-$ into $\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \widehat{T}_{fg}$, we have

$$\widehat{T}_{f_+} \widehat{T}_{g_+} + \widehat{T}_{f_+} \widehat{T}_{g_-} + \widehat{T}_{f_-} \widehat{T}_{g_+} + \widehat{T}_{f_-} \widehat{T}_{g_-} = \widehat{T}_{f_+ g_+} + \widehat{T}_{f_+ g_-} + \widehat{T}_{f_- g_+} + \widehat{T}_{f_- g_-}. \quad (4.15)$$

Combining (4.9), (4.12) and (4.15), we conclude that $\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \widehat{T}_{fg}$ if and only if $\widehat{T}_{f_+} \widehat{T}_{g_+} = \widehat{T}_{f_+ g_+}$, $\widehat{T}_{f_-} \widehat{T}_{g_-} = \widehat{T}_{f_- g_-}$, $\widehat{T}_{f_+} \widehat{T}_{g_-} = \widehat{T}_{f_+ g_-}$, $\widehat{T}_{f_-} \widehat{T}_{g_+} = \widehat{T}_{f_- g_+}$ if and only if f_+ , f_- , g_+ , and g_- simultaneously satisfy (4.10), (4.11), (4.13) and (4.14).

According to (4.10) and (4.11), f_+ , f_- , g_+ and g_- can be grouped into four cases.

Case 1.1 : $\textcircled{a}\textcircled{d}$, $\textcircled{b}\textcircled{e}$;

Case 1.2 : $\textcircled{a}\textcircled{e}$, $\textcircled{b}\textcircled{d}$;

Case 1.3 : $\textcircled{a}\textcircled{f}$, $\textcircled{b}\textcircled{f}$, $\textcircled{c}\textcircled{d}$, $\textcircled{c}\textcircled{e}$;

Case 1.4 : $\textcircled{c}\textcircled{f}$.

In addition, according to (4.13) and (4.14), f_+ , f_- , g_+ , g_- can be divided into other four cases.

Case 2.1 : $\textcircled{1}\textcircled{4}$, $\textcircled{2}\textcircled{5}$;

Case 2.2 : $\textcircled{1}\textcircled{5}$, $\textcircled{2}\textcircled{4}$;

Case 2.3 : ①⑥, ②⑥, ③④, ③⑤;

Case 2.4 : ③⑥.

We denote case ①④ as the case where f_+ , f_- , g_+ , g_- both satisfy ① with ④. We find that ①④ and ②⑤ are the same, they are (A), ①⑤ and ②④ are the same, they are (B), combining ①④ with ②④ gives (C). The following table presents all cases, as desired. $\square$

Case	①④	②⑤	①⑤	②④	①⑥	③⑤	②⑥	③④	③⑥
①④	(C)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A),(C)	(A),(C)	(B),(C)	(B),(C)	(A),(C)
②⑤	(C)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A),(C)	(A),(C)	(B),(C)	(B),(C)	(A),(C)
①⑤	(A)	(A)	(A)	(C)	(A)	(A)	(A)	(A)	(A)
②④	(B)	(B)	(C)	(B)	(B)	(B)	(B)	(B)	(B)
①⑥	(A),(C)	(A),(C)	(A)	(B)	(A),(C)	(C)	(A),(C)	(C)	(A),(C)
③⑤	(A),(C)	(A),(C)	(A)	(B)	(A),(C)	(C)	(A),(C)	(C)	(A),(C)
②⑥	(B),(C)	(B),(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(B),(C)	(C)	(B),(C)	(B),(C)
③④	(B),(C)	(B),(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(B),(C)	(C)	(B),(C)	(B),(C)
③⑥	(A),(B),(C)	(A),(B),(C)	(A)	(B)	(A),(C)	(B),(C)	(A),(C)	(B),(C)	(C)

TABLE 1. All Cases

5. COMMUTING TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

Theorem 1.7 can be egrformulated in the following form.

Let $f, g \in h^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, and $f = f_+ + f_-$, $g = g_+ + g_-$, where f_+ , $\bar{f}_-$, g_+ , $\bar{g}_-$ $\in H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then $\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \widehat{T}_g \widehat{T}_f$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (I) $f_+ = f_+(z_1)$, $f_- = f_-(z_2)$, $g_+ = g_+(z_1)$, $g_- = g_-(z_2)$;
- (II) $f_+ = f_+(z_2)$, $f_- = f_-(z_1)$, $g_+ = g_+(z_2)$, $g_- = g_-(z_1)$;
- (III) A nontrivial linear combination of f and g is constant.

Proof. If $\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \widehat{T}_g \widehat{T}_f$, by the matrix representations of $\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g$ and $\widehat{T}_g \widehat{T}_f$ (see (2.13)), we have

$$\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \begin{bmatrix} T_f T_g + \Gamma_f^* \Gamma_g & T_f \Gamma_g^* + \Gamma_f^* S_g \\ \Gamma_f T_g + S_f \Gamma_g & \Gamma_f \Gamma_g^* + S_f S_g \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_g T_f + \Gamma_g^* \Gamma_f & T_g \Gamma_f^* + \Gamma_g^* S_f \\ \Gamma_g T_f + S_g \Gamma_f & \Gamma_g \Gamma_f^* + S_g S_f \end{bmatrix} = \widehat{T}_g \widehat{T}_f.$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_f \Gamma_g^* + \Gamma_f^* S_g &= T_g \Gamma_f^* + \Gamma_g^* S_f, \\ \Gamma_f T_g + S_f \Gamma_g &= \Gamma_g T_f + S_g \Gamma_f. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

By substituting $f = f_+ + f_-$ and $g = g_+ + g_-$ into (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_{f_+} \Gamma_{g_+}^* + T_{f_-} \Gamma_{g_+}^* + \Gamma_{f_+}^* S_{g_+} + \Gamma_{f_-}^* S_{g_+} &= T_{g_+} \Gamma_{f_+}^* + T_{g_-} \Gamma_{f_+}^* + \Gamma_{g_+}^* S_{f_+} + \Gamma_{g_-}^* S_{f_+}, \\ \Gamma_{f_-} T_{g_+} + \Gamma_{f_-} T_{g_-} + S_{f_+} \Gamma_{g_-} + S_{f_-} \Gamma_{g_-} &= \Gamma_{g_-} T_{f_+} + \Gamma_{g_-} T_{f_-} + S_{g_+} \Gamma_{f_-} + S_{g_-} \Gamma_{f_-}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{f_-} \Gamma_{g_+}^* &= \Gamma_{g_+}^* S_{f_-}, \\ \Gamma_{f_+}^* S_{g_-} &= T_{g_-} \Gamma_{f_+}^*, \\ \Gamma_{f_-} T_{g_+} &= S_{g_+} \Gamma_{f_-}, \\ S_{f_+} \Gamma_{g_-} &= \Gamma_{g_-} T_{f_+}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (5.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} T_{f_+} \Gamma_{g_+}^* + \Gamma_{f_+}^* S_{g_+} &= T_{g_+} \Gamma_{f_+}^* + \Gamma_{g_+}^* S_{f_+}, \\ \Gamma_{f_-} T_{g_-} + S_{f_-} \Gamma_{g_-} &= \Gamma_{g_-} T_{f_-} + S_{g_-} \Gamma_{f_-}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Applying Lemma 3.5 to (5.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{T}_{f_+} \widehat{T}_{g_+} &= \widehat{T}_{g_+} \widehat{T}_{f_+}, \\ \widehat{T}_{f_-} \widehat{T}_{g_-} &= \widehat{T}_{g_-} \widehat{T}_{f_-}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Again by Lemma 3.5 we see that f_+ , f_- , g_+ , g_- satisfy

$$\begin{cases} (a) f_+ = f_+(z_1), g_+ = g_+(z_1); \text{ or} \\ (b) f_+ = f_+(z_2), g_+ = g_+(z_2); \text{ or} \\ (c) \text{ a nontrivial linear combination of } f_+ \text{ and } g_+ \text{ is constant,} \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (1) f_- = f_-(z_1), g_- = g_-(z_1); \text{ or} \\ (2) f_- = f_-(z_2), g_- = g_-(z_2); \text{ or} \\ (3) \text{ a nontrivial linear combination of } f_- \text{ and } g_- \text{ is constant,} \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

By assumption $\widehat{T}_f \widehat{T}_g = \widehat{T}_g \widehat{T}_f$, we have

$$\widehat{T}_{f_+} \widehat{T}_{g_+} + \widehat{T}_{f_+} \widehat{T}_{g_-} + \widehat{T}_{f_-} \widehat{T}_{g_+} + \widehat{T}_{f_-} \widehat{T}_{g_-} = \widehat{T}_{g_+} \widehat{T}_{f_+} + \widehat{T}_{g_+} \widehat{T}_{f_-} + \widehat{T}_{g_-} \widehat{T}_{f_+} + \widehat{T}_{g_-} \widehat{T}_{f_-}. \quad (5.7)$$

Since $\widehat{T}_{f_+} \widehat{T}_{g_+} = \widehat{T}_{g_+} \widehat{T}_{f_+}$ and $\widehat{T}_{f_-} \widehat{T}_{g_-} = \widehat{T}_{g_-} \widehat{T}_{f_-}$, (5.7) yields

$$\widehat{T}_{f_+} \widehat{T}_{g_-} - \widehat{T}_{g_-} \widehat{T}_{f_+} = \widehat{T}_{g_+} \widehat{T}_{f_-} - \widehat{T}_{f_-} \widehat{T}_{g_+}. \quad (5.8)$$

We need to find out the conditions for f_+ , f_- , g_+ , g_- such that (5.8) holds. In view of (5.5) and (5.6), we distinguish four cases.

Case 1.

(a)(2): $f_+ = f_+(z_1)$, $g_+ = g_+(z_1)$, $f_- = f_-(z_2)$, $g_- = g_-(z_2)$.

(b)(1): $f_+ = f_+(z_2)$, $g_+ = g_+(z_2)$, $f_- = f_-(z_1)$, $g_- = g_-(z_1)$.

According to Lemma 3.4, (a)(2) and (b)(1) ensure that (5.8) holds. Thus (I) and (II) would hold.

Case 2.

(a)(1): $f_+ = f_+(z_1)$, $g_+ = g_+(z_1)$, $f_- = f_-(z_1)$, $g_- = g_-(z_1)$.

(b)(2): $f_+ = f_+(z_2)$, $g_+ = g_+(z_2)$, $f_- = f_-(z_2)$, $g_- = g_-(z_2)$.

One only need consider case (a)(1), since (b)(2) is similar to (a)(1).

In (a)(1), taking the Berezin transform of both sides of (5.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ([\widehat{T}_{f_+}, \widehat{T}_{g_-}] k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}) \overline{k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}} d\sigma &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ([\widehat{T}_{g_+}, \widehat{T}_{f_-}] k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}) \overline{k_{\lambda_1} k_{\lambda_2}} d\sigma, \\ |\lambda_2|^2 (f_+(\lambda_1) \overline{g_-(\lambda_1)} - \mathcal{P}[f_+ g_-](\lambda_1)) &= |\lambda_2|^2 (g_+(\lambda_1) \overline{f_-(\lambda_1)} - \mathcal{P}[g_+ f_-](\lambda_1)), \\ f_+(\lambda_1) \overline{g_-(\lambda_1)} - \mathcal{P}[f_+ g_-](\lambda_1) &= g_+(\lambda_1) \overline{f_-(\lambda_1)} - \mathcal{P}[g_+ f_-](\lambda_1) \text{ for } \lambda_2 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from Lemma 3.1, the last equation implies $f_+ g_- - g_+ f_-$ is harmonic function on $\mathbb{D}$, hence we get

$$\partial_1 f_+ \bar{\partial}_1 g_- = \partial_1 g_+ \bar{\partial}_1 f_-. \quad (5.9)$$

We will use the method of [1] for finding all the solutions of (5.9).

If $\partial_1 g_+$ is identically 0 on $\mathbb{D}$, then (5.9) shows that either $\partial_1 f_+$ is identically 0 on $\mathbb{D}$ (so f_+ would be constant on $\mathbb{D}$ and (II) would hold) or $\bar{\partial}_1 g_-$ is identically 0 on $\mathbb{D}$ (so g_- would be constant on $\mathbb{D}$ and (III) would hold). Similarly, if $\bar{\partial}_1 g_-$ is identically 0 on $\mathbb{D}$, then (5.9) shows that either $\partial_1 g_+$ is identically 0 on $\mathbb{D}$ (so g_+ would be constant on $\mathbb{D}$ and (III) would hold) or $\bar{\partial}_1 f_-$ is identically 0 on $\mathbb{D}$ (so f_- be constant on $\mathbb{D}$ and (I) would hold). Thus we may assume that neither $\partial_1 g_+$ nor $\bar{\partial}_1 g_-$ is identically 0 on $\mathbb{D}$, and so (5.9) shows that

$$\frac{\partial_1 f_+}{\partial_1 g_+} = \frac{\bar{\partial}_1 f_-}{\bar{\partial}_1 g_-} \quad (5.10)$$

at all points of $\mathbb{D}$ except the countable set consisting of zeroes of $\partial_1 g_+ \bar{\partial}_1 g_-$. The left-hand side of the above equation is an analytic function (on $\mathbb{D}$ with the zeroes of $\partial_1 g_+ \bar{\partial}_1 g_-$ deleted), and the right-hand side is the complex conjugate of an analytic function on the same domain, and so both sides must equal a constant $c \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus $\partial_1 f_+ = c \partial_1 g_+$ and $\bar{\partial}_1 f_- = c \bar{\partial}_1 g_-$ on $\mathbb{D}$. Hence $f_+ - c g_+$ and $f_- - c g_-$ are constants on $\mathbb{D}$, and so their sum, which equals $f - c g$ is constant on $\mathbb{D}$, then (III) would hold.

Case 3.

(c)(3): A nontrivial linear combination of f_+ and g_+ is constant and a nontrivial linear combination of f_- and g_- is constant.

We may assume, without loss of generality, that $f_+ = \alpha g_+ + \lambda$ and $f_- = \beta g_- + \mu$, where α, λ, β and μ are constants. By substituting $f_+ = \alpha g_+ + \lambda$ and $f_- = \beta g_- + \mu$ into (5.8), we obtain

$$(\alpha - \beta)(\widehat{T}_{g_+} \widehat{T}_{g_-} - \widehat{T}_{g_-} \widehat{T}_{g_+}) = 0.$$

If $\alpha - \beta = 0$, then $f - \alpha g$ is constant, so (III) would hold. If $\widehat{T}_{g_+} \widehat{T}_{g_-} - \widehat{T}_{g_-} \widehat{T}_{g_+} = 0$, using Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\begin{cases} (X) & g_+ = g_+(z_1), g_- = g_-(z_2); \text{ or} \\ (Y) & g_+ = g_+(z_2), g_- = g_-(z_1); \text{ or} \\ (Z) & g_+ \text{ or } g_- \text{ is constant.} \end{cases} \quad (5.11)$$

Hence (X) implies (I), (Y) implies (II) and (Z) implies (III).

Case 4.

(a)(3): $f_+ = f_+(z_1)$, $g_+ = g_+(z_1)$, a nontrivial linear combination of f_- and g_- is constant.

(b)(3): $f_+ = f_+(z_2)$, $g_+ = g_+(z_2)$, a nontrivial linear combination of f_- and g_- is constant.

(c)(1): a nontrivial linear combination of f_+ and g_+ is constant, $f_- = f_-(z_1)$, $g_- = g_-(z_1)$.

(c)(2): a nontrivial linear combination of f_+ and g_+ is constant, $f_- = f_-(z_2)$, $g_- = g_-(z_2)$.

Since (a)(3), (b)(3), (c)(1) and (c)(2) are similar, one only need consider case (a)(3). For (a)(3), without loss of generality, we may assume that there exist constants δ and η such that $f_- = \delta g_- + \eta$. Therefore, (5.8) entails that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{T}_{f_+}\widehat{T}_{g_-} - \widehat{T}_{g_-}\widehat{T}_{f_+} &= \widehat{T}_{g_+}\widehat{T}_{f_-} - \widehat{T}_{f_-}\widehat{T}_{g_+} \\ &= \widehat{T}_{g_+}(\delta\widehat{T}_{g_-} + \eta I) - (\delta\widehat{T}_{g_-} + \eta I)\widehat{T}_{g_+} \\ &= \delta(\widehat{T}_{g_+}\widehat{T}_{g_-} - \widehat{T}_{g_-}\widehat{T}_{g_+}),\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\widehat{T}_{f_+ - \delta g_+}\widehat{T}_{g_-} = \widehat{T}_{g_-}\widehat{T}_{f_+ - \delta g_+}. \quad (5.12)$$

We can apply Lemma 3.4 to (5.12), if $f_+ - \delta g_+$ is constant, then (III) would hold, if g_- is constant or $g_- = g_-(z_2)$, then (I) would hold.

Conversely, it is easy to show that a nontrivial linear combination of f and g is constant implies $\widehat{T}_f\widehat{T}_g = \widehat{T}_g\widehat{T}_f$. Since

$$\widehat{T}_f\widehat{T}_g - \widehat{T}_g\widehat{T}_f = \widehat{T}_f\widehat{T}_g - \widehat{T}_{fg} + \widehat{T}_{fg} - \widehat{T}_g\widehat{T}_f,$$

by Theorem 1.6, we obtain that (I) and (II) are true. $\square$

Theorem 1.7 has the following consequence.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $f \in h^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$, then $\widehat{T}_f$ is normal if and only if the range of f lies on a line.*

Proof. The Toeplitz operator $\widehat{T}_f$ is normal if and only if $\widehat{T}_f$ and $\widehat{T}_{\bar{f}}$ commute. By Theorem 1.7 this is the case if and only if there are constants α and β , not both zero, such that $\alpha f + \beta \bar{f}$ is constant. $\square$

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to Professor Dechao Zheng for various helpful suggestions. The second author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(11271388) and the Program for University Innovation Team of Chongqing (CXTDX201601026).

REFERENCES

1. S. Axler, Ž. Čučković, *Commuting Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory. **14** (1991), no. 1, 1–12.
2. A. Brown, P. Halmos, *Algebraic Properties of Toeplitz operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **213** (1964), 89–102.
3. B. R. Choe, Y. J. Lee, *Commuting Toeplitz operators on the harmonic Bergman space*, Michigan Math. J. **46** (1999), 163–174.

4. B. R. Choe, Y. J. Lee, *Commutants of analytic Toeplitz operators on the harmonic Bergman space*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory. **50** (2004), no. 4, 559–564.
5. B. R. Choe, K. S. Nam, *Note on commuting Toeplitz operators on the pluriharmonic Bergman space*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **43** (2006), no. 2, 259–269.
6. X. Ding, *Products of Toeplitz operators on the polydisk*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory. **45** (2003), no. 4, 389–403.
7. X. Ding, *A question of Toeplitz operators on the harmonic Bergman space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **344** (2008), no. 1, 367–372.
8. C. Gu, D. Zheng, *The semi-commutator of Toeplitz operators on the bidisc*, J. Oper. Theory. **38** (1997), no. 1, 173–193.
9. K. Guo, S. Sun, D. Zheng, C. Zhong, *Multiplication operators on the Bergman space via the Hardy space of the bidisk*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **628** (2009), 129–168.
10. P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Van Nostrand, 1967.
11. Y. J. Lee, K. Zhu, *Some differential and integral equations with applications to Toeplitz operators*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, **44** (2002), no. 4, 466–479.
12. Y. Liu, X. Ding, *Toeplitz operators on the pluriharmonic Hardy space*, Scientia Sinica, **43** (2013), no. 7, 675–690.
13. W. Rudin, *Function theory in polydiscs*, W. A. Benjamin, 1969.
14. Y. Sang, X. Ding, *Commuting Toeplitz operators on the pluriharmonic Hardy space*, (submit)

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING 401331, P.R. CHINA.

E-mail address: yqisang@163.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHONGQING TECHNOLOGY AND BUSINESS UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING 400067, P.R. CHINA.

E-mail address: xuanhaod@qq.com